## **Organic Waste Comment Analysis**

Themes	<u>Comments</u>
User pays – options to opt-in or opt-out	<ul> <li>For user-pays model: <ul> <li>In order for people to best utilise the Service, there needs to be different options available to suit people's different preferences.</li> <li>Many people do not want to pay for a service they will not use. Do not see it as fair to pay for something that is not wanted or not utilised.</li> <li>In order to encourage/reward people that are already composting and or that do not create food or garden waste, there should be an opt-in or opt-out.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Against user-pays model:</li> <li>Don't agree with opt-out options as it would be impossible to police. The price needs to be paid by all rate payers.</li> </ul>
High rates and rate increase concerns	<ul> <li>For increasing rates for the Service:         <ul> <li>Unusally for the council this seems a reasonable rates increase for a service that we should have had years ago</li> </ul> </li> <li>Against increasing rates for the Service:         <ul> <li>There is a concern that adopting the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>proposal would result in increases in rates in the future.</li> <li>The community are not coping with existing costs so there should be no more additional costs.</li> <li>We have high rates that are too expensive</li> </ul>
<u>Rural Community</u>	<ul> <li>Rural communities need to be excluded from this charge as they would have other methods of dealing with organic waste and it is unlikely that this would be used.</li> <li>Rural community would appreciate a response from Council as to why rural ratepayers, some of whom have access to kerbside collection currently, are not included in the organic waste solutions proposed by RLC.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>There are rural access inequities to</li> </ul>
	waste recovery and recycling services in
	the Rotorua District.
	Rural community is concerned about
	any increased costs to them associated
	with this Service, as it is not a Service
	that would be well utilised by them
	they have other (gardens, chickens,
	pigs, compost etc.) options for their
	waste.
Collection frequency	
Collection frequency	Smell and rodent issues associated with
	decomposing waste are a major
	concern.
	<ul> <li>Fortnightly collection may see more</li> </ul>
	animals getting into bins and more
	maggots, flies, smells etc.
	<ul> <li>Food organics need to be collected</li> </ul>
	weekly. Garden organics, could be done
	fortnightly.
	• Consider offering an increase in
	pick up frequency in summer
	(weekly) due to having more
	garden waste and going to a
	fortnightly pickup in winter.
	• If the red bin is amended to fortnightly,
	there is a risk that people will end up
	mixing rubbish into the wrong bins and
	ruining everyone's hard work.
Ontion E great initiative needed	
Option 5 – great initiative needed	• There is a definite need for this service.
	• Option 5 seems best value for money.
	<ul> <li>This is just one of the many things we</li> </ul>
	could do to be cleaner, greener and
	reduce our carbon footprint.
	<ul> <li>Support better access to this for the</li> </ul>
	whole community.
Support collection of garden Green waste	<ul> <li>Many people already compost but</li> </ul>
	would like collection of garden green
	waste.
	• Garden Organics would significantly cut
	down on having a compost pile on the
	lawn which makes for an unsightly
	amount of waste.
	<ul> <li>Garden waste collection a great idea as</li> </ul>
	many people cannot afford to go to the
	dump and tend to dump near streams
	and local reserves.
Amount of hims and size	
Amount of bins and size	<ul> <li>It needs to be easy - one extra bin,</li> </ul>
	more than that – up to five different
	bins (option four) - is not efficient,
	especially for those that have little storage space (blocks of

	<ul> <li>flats/apartments) and there is limited kerbside collection space, especially for the likes of high density areas or cul-de- sacs.</li> <li>The bin would need to be big to cope with garden waste.</li> </ul>
Other	<ul> <li>A large number of households have in sink garbage grinders which discharge large amounts of food waste into the Council's waste water Infrastructure. This takes up a lot more of the waste water system space then the house holder pays for in their rates. Suggest that the \$35 extra fee be waived if the house holder does not have a connected in sink garbage grinder connected to Council's wastewater system.</li> <li>Non-organic rubbish should be collected once or twice a year to reduce illegal dumping. Similar to how Auckland allows you to leave non-organic trash on the side of the road once a year, then the Dump trucks come and retrieve it or people go around and will utilise it.</li> </ul>